

PART 3

EVALUATION CRITERIA

CONTENTS

1. COMPLETENESS OF SUBMISSION

- 1.1 The Tender Proposal shall follow the layout stated in **Part 1, Section A, INSTRUCTIONS TO TENDERERS**, Clause 3.1.
- 1.2 The prescribed forms, ANNEX 1A-1 to 1A-11, are to be completed and any alterations made must be countersigned by the appointed officer of the Tenderer.

2. COMPLIANCE WITH THE INVITATION TO TENDER

- 2.1 The Tenderer shall comply fully with all Terms and Conditions of **Part 1, Section B, CONDITIONS OF CONTRACT**. Any non-compliance must be indicated clearly in **ANNEX 1A-4 STATEMENT OF COMPLIANCE**.
- 2.2 The Tenderer must explain clearly in its tender submission how the proposal can meet the project objectives, business outcomes and requirements, as well as what the key considerations and risks are. The Tenderer must demonstrate a complete and integrated proposal in its tender submission. The proposal must provide sufficient details for tender evaluation purposes.
- 2.3 The Tenderer, if a data processor / data intermediary, must demonstrate good controls and abilities to ensure data protection and data privacy. The Tenderer must explain clearly how its proposal can meet the compliance requirements under the Personal Data Protection Act 2012 and its attendant regulations and guidelines.

3. PRICE SCHEDULE

- 3.1 The prices shall be stated clearly indicating the cost of the items according to the format specified in the Price Schedule, **Part 1, Section A, Annex 1A-3**. All requirements shall be quoted for unless stated otherwise. A softcopy of the Price Schedule shall be submitted.

4. CRITICAL EVALUATION CRITERIA

- 4.1. The Tenderer must have a track record and relevant experience in similar projects. The Tenderer shall cite **at least ONE (1)** customer/client that the Tenderer has done similar projects of this nature for within the last THREE (3) years.

- 4.2. Attendance at compulsory site show round/briefing.
- 4.3. The implementation of the proposed GMS shall be completed and achieve Production Go-Live within nine (9) calendar months from the date of LOA. e2i reserves the right to assess the feasibility, adequacy and credibility of the proposed implementation schedule. Where e2i determines that the proposed schedule is unrealistic, inadequately resourced, lacks sufficient detail, or presents a significant delivery risk, the Tender Proposal may be deemed non-compliant and excluded from further evaluation.

5. EVALUATION CRITERIA

- 5.1 Tenderers who have satisfied the critical criteria in Paragraph 4 above will proceed to a detailed assessment. For this assessment, the relevant Tender evaluation criteria are assigned weightings and translated into quantitative scores which are then totalled up to give a Combined Score.
- 5.2 The Tenderer with the highest combined score may be awarded the Tender.

S/N	Evaluation Criteria
1.0	Price Score
	The Tenderer demonstrated value for money i.e., cost versus the overall value and benefits in the proposal.
2.0	Quality Score
	Quality of the Tenderer's proposal & methodology • Ability to meet the functional requirements in Part 2. • Ability to meet non-functional and technical requirements in Part 2.
	Feasibility of Schedule and Implementation Approach • Ability to meet the implementation schedules and delivery approaches.
	Tenderer's competency and similar/relevant track record • Quality of the Proposed project organisation structure. • Tenderer's track records and project experiences